

## Lecture 22: Some Practice with Fourier Analysis

Today's lecture is primarily based on the material in Section 3 of the survey by Ronald D. Wolf

# Two measures of Similarity

- Consider two Boolean functions  $f, g: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$
- Suppose  $\mathbb{P}[f(x) \neq g(x)] = \delta$  (where  $x$  is drawn uniformly at random from  $\{0, 1\}^n$ ). We shall write it as  $\mathbb{P}[f \neq g]$  for succinctness.
- Verify that  $\langle f, g \rangle = (1 - 2\delta)$ . Equivalently,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = 1 - 2 \cdot \mathbb{P}[f \neq g]$$

- Verify that  $\|f - g\|_2^2 = 4 \cdot \mathbb{P}[f \neq g]$

- Suppose  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$  is a Boolean function
- Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$  be a small subset. For example,  $\mathcal{C}$  may be the set of all subsets of size  $\leq d$ , a constant.
- Suppose  $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{f}(S)^2 \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ . Recall that  $\sum_S \hat{f}(S)^2 = 1$  for a Boolean  $f$ . This constraint says that the Fourier coefficient  $\hat{f}(S)$ , where  $S \in \mathcal{C}$ , have most of the spectral weight.
- Let us define a new (real-valued) function  $h: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows

$$h := \sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S$$

- Note that  $h$  need not be a Boolean function. Instead, consider the Boolean function  $\text{sgn } h$ , i.e., the sign of the function  $h$
- Our objective is to prove that  $f$  and  $\text{sgn } h$  disagree with very low probability

- Here is the proof outline. I am leaving the explanation of each step as an exercise.

Define  $D = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : f(x) \neq \text{sgn } h(x)\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 4\mathbb{P}[f \neq \text{sgn } h] &= \|f - \text{sgn } h\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{x \in D} (f - \text{sgn } h)(x)^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{4}{N} \cdot \sum_{x \in D} (f - h)(x)^2 \\
 &\leq 4 \cdot \sum_S (\widehat{f - h})(S)^2 \\
 &= 4 \cdot \sum_S (\widehat{f}(S) - \widehat{h}(S))^2 \\
 &= 4 \cdot \sum_{S \notin \mathcal{C}} \widehat{f}(S)^2 \\
 &\leq 4 \cdot \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, we have  $\mathbb{P}[f \neq \text{sgn } h] \leq \varepsilon$

# Advantage in Predicting a Boolean Function

- Suppose  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$  is a Boolean function
- Let  $p: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow [-1, +1]$  be a *sparse polynomial*. That is, there is a small set  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$  such that  $\hat{p}(S) \neq 0 \implies S \in \mathcal{C}$  (Think: What does this mathematical constraint mean in English?)
- Suppose  $\langle f, p \rangle \geq \varepsilon$
- We will like to claim that there is a character that has *non-trivial advantage* in predicting  $f$
- Here is the proof outline. The explanation of each step is left as exercise.

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon \leq \langle f, p \rangle &= \sum_S \hat{f}(S) \cdot \hat{p}(S) \\ &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \hat{p}(S) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{f}(S)^2} \cdot \|p\|_2\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\sum_{S \in \mathcal{C}} \widehat{f}(S)^2} \cdot 1.$$

- Therefore, there exists  $S^* \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$|\widehat{f}(S^*)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{C}|}}$$

- Therefore, there is a character  $\chi_{S^*}$  that has the non-trivial advantage in predicting the function  $f$

# Heavy Fourier Coefficients are Few

- Let  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\}$  be a Boolean function
- A heavy Fourier coefficient is one such that  $|\widehat{f}(S)| \geq \varepsilon$
- Define the set of all heavy Fourier coefficients

$$\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon = \left\{ S \in \{0, 1\}^n : |\widehat{f}(S)| \geq \varepsilon \right\}$$

- Prove that  $|\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$
- I want to emphasize that the upper bound is *independent of  $n$*